

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

- (51) International Patent Classification 5: A61K 35/78, 31/365, 31/34, 31/19, 31/12, 31/16, 31/40
- (11) International Publication Number:

WO 95/00157

A1

(43) International Publication Date:

5 January 1995 (05.01.95)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/AU94/00342

(22) International Filing Date:

24 June 1994 (24.06.94)

(30) Priority Data:

PL 9605

25 June 1993 (25.06.93)

ΑU

- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): MOBIUS CONSULTANCY PTY. LTD. [AU/AU]; 2 Anchusa Street, Bellbowrie, QLD 4070 (AU).
- (72) Inventor; and
- DAUNTER, Brian (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): [AU/AU]; 2 Anchusa Street, Bellbowrie, QLD 4070 (AU).
- (74) Agent: KELLY, Robin, Thomas; Fisher & Kelly, Level One, 349 Coronation Drive, Milton, QLD 4064 (AU).

(81) Designated States: AM, AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CZ, DE, DK, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LU, LV, MD, MG, MN, MW, NL, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SI, SK, TJ, TT, UA, US, UZ, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published

With international search report.

(54) Title: THERAPEUTIC AGENT

(57) Abstract

A method for the treatment of an inflammatory complaint including the step of administration of a compound of general formulae (I to XII) described herein in figure or mixtures thereof to a subject suffering from said inflammatory complaint. There is also included a therapeutic agent for relief of inflammatory complaints which includes a compound of general formulae (I to XII) as described in figure or mixtures thereof in combination with an appropriate filler which is preferably dicalcium phosphate. The invention also includes within its scope plant extracts which may contain one or more of the above-mentioned compounds and in particular plant extracts of the genus Umbelliferae, celery (Apium graveolens), parsley (Petroselimun hortense) and dill (Anethum graveolens). It is believed that compounds of the above-mentioned formulae and in particular butylphthalide, sedanenolide and sedanolide (in both cis and trans forms otherwise known as essential oils which are mainly derived from plants) mimic the physiological response of essential fatty acids and also their prostaglandin analogues.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

| AT | Austria | GB | United Kingdom | MR | Mauritania |
|-------|--------------------------|-----|------------------------------|-----|--------------------------|
| ΑŪ | Australia | GE | Georgia | MW | Malawi |
| BB | Barbados | GN | Guinea | NE | Niger |
| BE | Belgium | GR | Greece | NL | Netherlands |
| | Burkina Paso | HU | Hungary | NO | Norway |
| BF | | IR. | Ireland | NZ | New Zealand |
| BG | Bulgaria | m m | | PL | Poland |
| R.J | Benin | | Italy | PT | Portugal |
| BR | Brazil | JP | Japan | | |
| BY | Belarus | KE | Kenya | RO | Romania |
| CA | Canada | KG | Kyrgystan | RU | Russian Federation |
| CIF | Central African Republic | KP | Democratic People's Republic | SD | Sudan |
| CG | Congo | | of Kores | SE | Swedon |
| CH | Switzerland | KR | Republic of Korea | SI | Slovenia |
| a | Côte d'Ivoire | KZ | Kazakhstan | SK | Slovakia |
| CM | Cameroon | u | Liechtenstein | SN | Senegal |
| CN | China | LK | Sri Lanks | TD | Chad |
| CS CS | Czechoslovakia | LU | Luxembourg | TG | Togo |
| cz | Czech Republic | LV | Latvia | TJ | Tajikistan |
| DE | Gameny | MC | Monaco | TT | Trinidad and Tobago |
| DE | Denmark | MD | Republic of Moldova | UA | Ukraine |
| | | MG | Madagascar | US | United States of America |
| ES | Spain | | | UZ | Uzbekistan |
| n | Finland | ML | Mali | VN | Vict Nam |
| FR | France | MN | Mongolia | AM. | A BCS EASTED |
| | A.L | | | | |

1

TITLE

"THERAPEUTIC AGENT"

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

THIS INVENTION relates to a therapeutic agent which may be used for the treatment of inflammatory complaints such as arthritis.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Arthritis means joint inflammation and really refers to more than 100 rheumatic diseases in which one Rheumatic illnesses or more joints are inflamed. include not only arthritis, but illnesses in which there are aches and pains of the musculoskeletal system, joints, muscles, ligaments, tendons and bursae. Rheumatic syndromes can be classified as inflammatory or degenerative, but this is sometimes less distinct than the nomenclature implies. That is, a joint damaged by inflammatory disease is more vulnerable to degenerative factors, thus syndromes that appear to be degenerative may be associated with inflammation. can virtually syndromes rheumatic manifestations of numerous types of illnesses. addition, rheumatic illnesses can be associated with This complexity therefore makes other diseases. diagnosis difficult and its classification tentative (discussed in reference (1) of list of references attached hereto).

The difficulty in diagnosis is demonstratable by the latest consideration given to this complex problem by Webb and Nash in 1990 (2) and similarly this applies to the complexity of classification based on the American Rheumatism Association. It therefore follows that the treatment of rheumatic disease is also complex. This complexity has been addressed by Kremer (3) in 1990, who considers the traditional treatment for rheumatoid arthritis, and proposes modifications to it, and the use of combined chemotherapy and also an experimental approach. These complexities in treatment

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

2

also apply to other rheumatic diseases such as osteoarthritis (1).

Arthritis can be associated with infectious agents such as bacteria, fungi and viruses. Thus there arthritis, tuberculous arthritis, gonococcal mycotic arthritis and syphilitic arthritis, There is also polyarthritis and viral arthritis. There is also polyarthritis of unknown arthritis. etiology in which there is prominent involvement of sacroiliac and joints articulations, spinal paravertebral soft tissues. There is neuropathic joint disease which is a progressive degenerative arthropathy classified under miscellaneous forms of arthritis which also includes chondrocalcinosis. Chondrocalcinosis is defined as the presence of calcium containing salts in cartilaginous structures of one or more joints. Because calcium salt crystals are found in joint fluid with attendant chronic synovitis, it has been referred to as pseudogout (1).

Rheumatoid arthritis is a systemic disease of unknown cause, although it does lead to autoimmune The clinical and pathologic findings and expression. resultant disability are the result of inflammation of synovial membranes. The inflamed and contributes cartilage synovium to hyperplastic It appears that the resident macrophage destruction. and fibroblast type cells in the synovium become activated and release mediators of an inflammatory response. In addition, rheumatoid arthritis appears to be exacerbated by an immunological stimulated influx of monocytes/macrophages and leucocytes into the synovial fluid.

There are also variants of the rheumatoid arthritis syndrome (1). In addition, there is the problem of defining or judging disease activity, and this applies to rheumatoid arthritis, the complexity of developing and applying a disease activity score is

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

3

exemplified in the latest article by Van der Heijde et al. 1990 (4).

Osteoarthritis, a degenerative joint disease of articular cartilage, probably begins in the second decade of life. It may be considered as a response to aging but can be accelerated by unknown causes. Whereas rheumatoid arthritis is initiated by inflammatory response which can lead to the destruction of articular cartilage, osteoarthritis is initiated by the destruction of or lack of repair of articular This can lead to an inflammatory joint cartilage. response, but rarely do the pathologic features of inflammation mimic those of rheumatoid arthritis. addition, subsequent to ulceration of cartilage, new bone formation occurs at the margin of articular osteophytes are These marginal cartilage. characteristic boney "spars". Osseous changes also include cysts of varying sizes beneath the joint and remodelling of subchondral bone (1).

As with rheumatoid arthritis there are also variants of the osteoarthritis syndrome such as calcific tendonitis and bursitis which results in the most common cause from calcium deposits in the rotor tendons and inflammation of the bursae which are closed synovial sacs located at sites of friction between skin, ligaments, tendons, muscles and bones. These constitute the group of non-articular rheumatism (1).

Gout represents a group of genetic diseases purine metabolism disorders of acquired and excretion that may be identified by hyperuricemia (increase in blood uric acid). Therefore gout is an heterogenous collection of disorders, and different common feature leads to the states disease Also, hyperuricemia may persistent hyperuricemia. occur following chemotherapy for malignancy disorders due to the destruction of tissue resulting in uric acid overload due to an increase in purines (1).

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Uric acid is not toxic in its soluble form, but causes problems when precipitated as sodium urate at pH 7.4 or as uric acid in acidic urine. The precipitation of uric acid as sodium urate may occur in any tissue and results in tophi with tissue disruption and destruction. Its precipitation in the kidney can result in varying degrees of renal impairment. Its precipitation as microcrystals in synovial tissues and spaces leads to acute inflammatory arthritis also known as gouty arthritis (1).

Hyperuricemia and gouty arthritis have also been observed following heart transplantation (5).

Gout is therefore an inflammatory arthritis induced by urate crystals (monosodium urate crystals). The mechanisms by which these monosodium urate crystals (MSUC) lead to synovitis and joint destruction have not Nevertheless, eicosanoids been completely elucidated. have been implicated which are important mediators of inflammatory responses, and are produced in response to Elevated concentrations of eicosanoids are MSUC (6). found in gouty synovial fluid (7, 8), prostaglandin (PGE2) which stimulates bone reabsorption (9) hydroxyeicosatetrenoic acids are produced by synovial cells exposed to MSUC (10-14). Also, leukotriene B4 is present which stimulates neutrophil infiltration (15). It appears that the rate limiting step in eicosanoid production is the production of phospholipase enzymes which cleave fatty acids from membrane phospholipids These enzymes are produced by monocytes and (16). neutrophils stimulated by MSUC via a phospholipase activating protein which can be inhibited by colchicine Whether or not asymptomatic and indomethacin (16). gout, in terms of the immunological mechanisms which precede rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis has not In this respect it is interesting to been elucidated. note that hyperuricemia is not a disease but a risk factor for gout and coronary heart disease (17).

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

5

addition, MSUC may be present in synovial fluid in the absence of hyperuricemia (1, 18, 19), therefore making the diagnosis of gout difficult.

Various treatments have been proposed for treatment of inflammatory complaints but they have only met with partial success and in this regard have not proved to be satisfactory as described in Sany (23). in relation to rheumatoid arthritis example, various therapies have been proposed which include either slow acting drugs which act mainly but not exclusively on macrophages (i.e. gold salts) or on T CD4+ cells (i.e. D penicillamine) or immunostimulating agents (i.e. Lemamisole) or immunosuppressive drugs such as alkylating agents and antimetabolites. Aspirin analgesic and NSAIDS (non steroidal antiinflammatory drugs) have also been proposed as well as methotrexate as described in Kremer (3). All these therapies have associated side effects of various severity.

Reference may also be made to references (19), (16), (24), (18), (25), (26), (17), (27), (4) and (5) which also discuss this subject.

The treatment of gout may also be problematic. The use of the anti-inflammatory agents, phenylbutazone or indomethacin can result in headaches and gastrointestinal symptoms (nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain and diarrhoea), but less than that experienced with colchicine which may also induce leucopoenia. Glucocorticoid or ACTH give variable responses and there is a high incidence of rebound effects (1).

Reference may be made to prior art which includes Japanese patent JP1157915 which described a superoxide dismutase (SOD) inducer containing celery or lovage extracts which may be extracted by distillation with steam or with organic solvents by a batch or reflux method. The extract is used at any concentration or also as a dried substance. A

· 5 .

10

15

20

25

30

35

surfactant or lactose may be added.

French patent No. 2611502 refers to an extract of roots from the plant family *Umbelliferae* which has diuretic, cardiovascular, analgesic and anti-inflammatory activity. The roots are extracted with an alcoholic solvent and dried to a powder or used as a preservative.

German patent No. 3638484 refers to a plant extract from parsley in an aqueous or alcoholic medium for use as a medicinal anti-lice shampoo.

Japanese patent JP1257155 refers to antioxidant food containing a powdered extract of a plant belonging to the *Umbelliferae* family.

Japanese patents JP57056416 and 587058327 refer to mouthwash compositions containing an alcoholic extract of celery.

Russian patent SU639552 refers to an alcoholic extract of parsley juice as an obstetric composition.

Reference may also be made to Japanese patent specifications 63-90505 and 63-90506 which relate to a novel polysaccharide derived from rhizome of Bupleurum falcatum belonging to the Umbelliferae plant family and effective as a therapeutic agent in relation to autoimmune diseases such as arthrorheumatism, thyroiditis and chronic nephritis.

Extracts from the seeds of the celery plant graveolens (family Umbelliferae) have been asserted to have pharmacological properties. These range from carminative, diuretic, emmenagogic (an agent that facilitates menstrual discharge) and antiseptic In addition such extracts have also been asserted to be useful in the treatment of bronchitis, liver and spleen disorders, inflammation, asthma, rheumatism, arthritis and gout (28, 29, 30). therapeutic properties of the celery seed extracts are generally attributed to the essential oils in the These essential oils are in fact the extracts.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

7

volatile oils that constitute 1%-2% vol/weight of seed, which can be obtained by steam distillation (31). composition of the essential oils has been established by gas chromatography as described in McLeod et al (32) The main constituents of the oil are and Salzer (33). the terpene hydrocarbons limonene and eta-selinene which together constitute about 80% of the total oil. remainder is made up of other terpenes and compounds which give the characteristic odour of celery oil e.g. the butyl phthalides (31). Scientific data has demonstrated that both aqueous and 80% ethanol extracts of the celery stalk have anti-inflammatory properties as described in Lewis et al (34) and Al-Hindawi et al. (35).

In relation to conventional alcoholic extraction of celery, one method is to extract celery seed with 95% ethanol until all ethanol soluble celery components have been extracted. After filtration to remove particulate material, the soluble components are then used as the therapeutic agent based on the content of volatile oils.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

An object of the invention is therefore to provide therapeutic agent(s) for the treatment of inflammatory diseases or complaints generally and/or also in relation to diseases, illnesses or complaints caused by uric acid accumulation in the body.

The therapeutic agent(s) of the invention may also be used for treatment of endometriosis, which refers to the growth of cells in the lining of the womb in the body cavity which causes an inflammatory response.

The therapeutic agent(s) of the invention include compounds of general formulae I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VII, IX, X, XI and XII reproduced hereinafter in FIG 1 as well as mixtures thereof. The therapeutic agent(s) of the invention may also include

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

compositions containing one or more of the above compounds which may also contain one or more fatty acids.

In the formulae given in FIG 1, R_1 and R_2 are alkyl chains preferably having 8-22 carbons. Suitably R_1 and R_2 may include structures of the formula - $CH(CH_2)n-$ or = $CH(CH_2)n-$ or isomers of these structures including branched chain structures. The value of n may be any whole number up to 30 but preferably n has a value of from 6 to 20. R_1 and R_2 may contain an even or odd number of carbon atoms and may be the same or different.

 R_1 and R_2 may terminate with a terminal group T which may be methyl, ethyl, hydroxyl, carboxylic acid, alcohol or derivatives of carboxylic acids or salts of carboxylic acids. R_1 and R_2 may also contain single or double bonds in a conjugated or non-conjugated form.

 R_1 and R_2 may also be alkyl chains optionally substituted with any suitable substituent which may include amino, hydroxyl, sulphonyl, nitro, oxo, alkoxy, halogen, substituted amino with the substituent(s) being alkyl or substituted sulphonyl wherein the substituent(s) may be alkyl.

Optional substituents S_1 , S_2 , S_3 and S_4 may also be selected from amino, hydroxyl, sulphonyl, nitro, oxo, alkoxy, halogen, substituted amino wherein the substituents may be alkyl groups or substituted sulphonyl wherein the substituent(s) may be alkyl.

The bond indicated in phantom indicates the presence of an optional bond at the positions indicated where possible due to valency requirements.

Compounds of the general formula II may be formed from phthalic anhydride by reaction with a suitable Grigard reagent R Mg X where X is halide and R is alkyl. Subsequently compounds of general formulae I and III may be formed by initial reaction of compound II with an alkyl halide and subsequent reaction with an

5

10

15

20

. 25

30

35

alcohol. Alternatively compound II may be reacted with an alcohol followed by a dehydration reaction with an acid. Compounds of general formula IV may be produced from phthalic acid with an appropriate alkylating agent such as a Grignard reagent.

Compounds of general formulae VI may be produced by reaction of naphthoquinone with an appropriate alkylating agent such as a Grignard reagent at the 2 and 3 positions to produce a compound formula VI followed by subsequent dehydrogenation reaction as described above at the relevant locations to produce compounds of formulae V and VII.

Compounds VIII and IX may be produced by a Friedel Crafts reaction involving alkylation at the relevant position where the alkyl moiety is a branched structure.

Compounds X, XI and XII may be produced by substitution of an -NR group instead of the oxygen at the relevant position by reaction of ammonia or alkylamine with the corresponding I, II or III compound.

The plant extracts of the invention may be prepared by a process which includes the steps of:

- (i) contacting plant material with an organic solvent which may extract soluble components of the plant material to produce a solvent extract;
 - (ii) separating the mixture of plant material and solvent so as to remove particulate material therefrom;
 - (iii) concentrating the extract by removing the solvent.

The invention also includes within its scope plant extracts prepared in accordance with the abovementioned process.

The invention also includes within its scope plant extracts which may contain one or more of the

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

abovementioned compounds and in particular plant extracts of the genus *Umbelliferae*, celery (*Apium graveolens*) parsley (*Petroselimun hortense*) and dill (*Anethum graveolens*).

It will be appreciated, however, that such compounds may also be located in plants of the genus Solanum.

The plant extracts may also be derived from the leaves of plants as well as the stalks but preferably use is made of plant seeds as starting material.

In relation to celery seed, the varieties of suitable celery seed includes the French, Chinese and Indian species. The Indian variety of celery seed however is preferred.

The celery seed is preferably crushed to a powder. This can be achieved by any one of a number of methods. For a relatively low mass of celery seed, a mortar and pestle is suitable for crushing.

Alternatively, the plant material may be crushed or ground in a ball mill, plate mill or other suitable means for comminuting the plant material. Initially the celery seed which may have an average dimension of 1.5 \times 1.55 mm and a thickness of 0.5 mm may be comminuted to provide finely divided particles of an average diameter of 0.1 - 0.2 mm.

Following crushing, the crushed seed may be suspended in a suitable solvent selected from a group methylene chloride, comprising alcohol, acetone, including chloroform, glycols propylene benzene, organic oils. and .appropriate glycol, ether Preferably, the solvent is an alcohol. A preferable A suitable concentration of alcohol is ethanol. The preferred ratio of ethanol is 95% - 98% (v/v). seed to ethanol is 1 kg of seed in 16 litres of ethanol but the ratio may be as high as 1 kg of seed in 5 litres of ethanol.

5

10

15

20

25

.30

35

However, in accordance with this invention while it is possible to use alcohol of 95-98% concentration it is more effective in the process of this invention to use absolute alcohol or alcohol redistilled from 95-98% concentration. Thus the alcohol may have a preferred concentration of 99.99%.

The suspension can be subjected to slow agitation until the extractable components in the celery seed have been fully extracted with the chosen solvent. The temperature of incubation may vary but the preferred temperature is room temperature. Higher incubation temperatures may be used. The incubation may occur in a closed system such as tanks of varying capacities. The incubation time may vary depending upon the celery seed condition but a preferable time may be up to eight days.

Particulate plant material is subsequently removed. Preferably the particulate plant material is removed by filtration and/or sedimentation although centrifugation may also be used. Suitably, the extract is filtered with filters of a pore size that have a range of from 0.2 to 10 microns and more suitably 4-5 microns. Two or more filters may be used in series. The filtering process may be assisted by the application of positive pressure or the use of a vacuum.

The extract with the particulate plant material removed may then be concentrated to remove solvent and water if present, leaving a residue of non-volatiles. Solvent may be distilled from the extract with the use of heat, vacuum and/or pressure. Where the solvent is ethanol, the temperature of distillation is variable but preferably 50-60°C.

In a preferred form of the invention, an initial extract may be prepared which is an alcoholic extract which is a 1 in 10 concentrate (e.g. 1 kg of alcoholic extract is prepared from 10 kg of seed).

5

10

15

20 -

25

30

35

12

The alcoholic extract may be further concentrated under vacuum so that there is a 50% weight loss due to the removal of ethanol and water to provide a viscous liquid or concentrate. Suitably 10 kg of seed provides 0.5 kg of concentrate or a 1 in 20 concentrate.

In accordance with the present invention it is believed that compounds of the abovementioned formulae and in particular butylphthalide, sedanenolide and sedanolide (in both cis and trans forms otherwise known as essential oils which are mainly derived from plants) mimic the physiological response of essential fatty acids and also their prostaglandin analogues. Such fatty acids comprise the n-6 series derived from linoleic acid and the n-3 series derived from alinolenic acid as discussed in Horrobin and Manku pages 22-24 entitled "Clinical Biochemistry of EFA" located in Essential Fatty Acids Pathophysiology and Roles in Clinical Medicine (36).

when the therapeutic agent(s) of the invention are administered to subjects suffering from inflammatory complaints the ingestion or injection of the abovementioned compounds result in an elevation of the same or similar fatty acids in the blood resulting in a reduction of traumatic pain. Essential fatty acids are involved in the regulation of all body functions.

The plant extract of the invention will suitably be marketed in the form of a powder which may be dried under vacuum and which may also contain dicalcium phosphate or other suitable fillers.

A suitable composition for use in the invention may include one or more compounds of general formulae I to XII described herein in FIG 1 together with 5-95% by weight of filler. More preferably the filler is included in said composition on a basis of at least 50% by weight and most preferably the filler is

13

included in said composition of at least 75% by weight.

In relation to the fillers that may be used in combination with the therapeutic agent of the invention, such fillers may include silica, dextrans, glucose or other suitable carbohydrate. However, dicalcium phosphate may be preferred.

Spectrophotometry of Ethanol Extract

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Essential oils are soluble in alcohol and absorb in the U.V. region of 190-214 nm (37). Therefore in order to demonstrate the presence of essential oils in the alcohol extract U.V. spectrophotometry was carried out.

Example I demonstrates that the maximum absorption of the extract in 80% alcohol (i.e. ethanol) is at 200 nm when diluted 25,000.

<u>High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) of the Ethanol Extract</u>

Based on the HPLC methodology described in Applications of HPLC in Biochemistry by Fallon A, Booth R F G and Bell L D, pages 193-196 (37), Example II demonstrates the presence of three (3) major peaks of essential oils in the extract and their associated profile. The peaks are A, B and C.

Example III describes preparative HPLC conditions for the isolation and purification of the essential oil peaks A, B and C.

From the isolation of the pure compounds the weight was found and hence the concentration of these essential oils in the ethanol extract and concentrate can be determined.

Spectrophotometry of the Essential Oils (A, B and C) (Example IV)

Spectrophotometry of the pure essential oils (A, B and C) in 80% ethanol demonstrated that there were no other absorption peaks in the visible region and only the characteristic U.V. profile as previously shown in Example I. That is, a peak of absorption at

5

15

20

25

40

280~nm and maximum absorption at 191-192~nm in this pure form (Example IV).

Mass Spectrophotometry Nuclear Magnetic Resonance and Infrared Analysis (Example V)

Mass Spectrophotometry (MS), Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) and Infrared Spectrophotometry (IR) have identified the three peaks fatty acids/oils as n-butylphthalide, sedanenolide and sedanolide (Example V).

10 Extract Formulation

From pilot experiments it was determined that an 80% ethanol solution, containing 34 mg dry weight/ml of the concentrated ethanol extract, would be used for biomedical studies for the relief of rheumatic pain.

The HPLC profile of 34 mg/ml of the ethanol extract is shown in Example VI. Thus the ratio of peaks A, B and C to each other can be calculated from their peak heights.

Thus B/A = 9.50

$$C/A = 26.25$$

 $C/B = 2.76$

Hence from the ratios of B/A and C/A, the concentration of these peaks in terms of total solids in the extract and in the diluted extract (34 mg/ml) can be calculated.

Thus in terms of the diluted ethanol extract of 34 mg/ml: 1 : 9.5 : 26.25

30
$$A = \frac{1}{36.75} \times 34 = 1.008 \text{ mg}$$

$$B = \frac{9.5}{36.75} \times 34 = 8.789 \text{ mg}$$

$$C = \frac{26.25}{36.75} \times 34 = \frac{24.286}{34.083} \text{ mg}$$

In Example III, from a known concentration of peak C (peaks A, B and C are similar) the concentration of the fatty acids/oils A, B and C and region D were calculated using the peak heights shown in Example VI.

15

Thus A = 0.5 mg/ml B = 7.5 mg/ml C = $\frac{20.5}{28.5}$ mg/ml

The "D" region must be

34 - 28.5 mg = 5.5 mg/ml

Thus the ratio of A, B, C, D is -

1:15:41:11

Plasma Fatty Acids

5

10

15

20

30

35

Since many fatty acids are naturally occurring. in human blood, samples of blood were taken before and after the ingestion of an 80% ethanol tincture containing 34 mg/ml of extract and in a capsule form containing 68 mg of extract. The results were similar. In both cases the blood level of these three (3) particular fatty acids/oils and other fatty acids were elevated and then decayed over 3 hours to levels less than that at zero time i.e. before the extract was taken. In addition the blood plasma co-chromatographed with fatty acids/oils Α, В the pure demonstrating identity between the fatty acids/oils in the blood and those in the extract (Example VII).

25 Pilot Study

A pilot study was carried using the McGill Pain Questionnaire: 15 volunteers, age 33-83 years with medically diagnosed rheumatic pain for 10 ± 8.5 years that was continual or intermittent. volunteers were assessed at four consecutive 3 weekly intervals, after which they discontinued taking the extract and were allowed to return to the study of their own volition. At 12 weeks, the volunteers showed a statistical decrease in transformed data of usual pain (t = 4.2 p < 0.001) and present pain (t = 5.23, p (0.001). The decrease in pain was time-dose dependent (r = -0.90, 0.001 . Also usual pain andpresent pain were negatively correlated (r = -0.90, 0.001). Similarly there was a significant

16

statistical decrease in the number of usual and present body areas experiencing pain (p < 0.001) which were correlated with the decreases in usual pain (0.001 < p $\langle 0.01 \rangle$ and present pain $(0.02 \langle p \langle 0.05 \rangle)$. entry into the study, the volunteers average pain level was 70% of the original pain level. The time delay for re-entry into the study was 2-57 days. Decreases in usual pain, present pain, usual and present body areas experiencing pain followed the same pattern as the first part of the study. Standard blood biochemistry and haematology was normal.

Dose Response

5

10

15

20

30

35

Based on the pilot study of pain relief from arthritic and rheumatic pain, from the correlations previously summarised, and from Example III i.e. present pain is correlated with usual pain and body areas experiencing pain over 12 weeks. Then the amount of extract consumed at 3, 6 and 12 weeks can be plotted against decrease in present pain (FIG 34). shows the extrapolation of the graph in terms of extract to be consumed to achieve zero pain.

Thus:

Extract was 34 mg/ml in 80% ethanol, 2 ml/day

- 68 mg of extract/day
- 1428 mg/3 weeks 25
 - 2856 mg/6 weeks
 - 5712 mg/12 weeks

Extrapolation gives 11400 mg to achieve zero pain.

- Over 12 weeks this dose is 958.33 mg/week or 1. 136 mg/day.
- Thus to achieve zero pain relief in 1 week 2. this dose would be $958.33 \times 12 =$ mg/week
 - 1642.85 mg/day OT
- 821.42 mg/bidaily.

This is considered to be the maximum dose per day 1642.85 mg of a 1 in 20 concentrate of celery seed

PCT/AU94/00342

17

extract.

The minimum dose is that used in this study which is 68 mg/day of a 1 in 20 concentrate of a celery seed extract.

From the Extract Formulation page and Example VI, the ratio of the peaks A, B and C were derived. The concentration of these peaks in an 80% ethanol solution of the extract of 34 mg/ml was then calculated. Thus the same calculations can be carried out for the maximum single dose of 821.42 mg.

A = 22.35 mg

B = 212.34 mg

C = 586.73 mg

821.42 mg

15

10

5

Similarly as the peaks A, B and C from the pure compounds can be determined Example VI and the D region. Hence the concentration of the fatty acids/oils A, B, C and D region can be calculated.

25

30

35

40

20

D region is 821.42 - 788.6 = 32.82 mg/ml.

:.

EXAMPLES

EXAMPLE I

U.V. and Visible Spectrophotometry of the Ethanol Extract

Method

| Measuring | y wav | elen | gth | ı | ar | ıge | : | • | • | • | ٠ | | • | • | 9 | 900 | - | 185 | nm |
|-----------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|---|----|----|----|-----|----|----|-----|-----|--------------|------|
| Measuring | g mod | е. | • | • | • | • | | | | • | | | | • | • | | | . (<i>I</i> | ABS) |
| Photometr | cic s | cale | | | | • | | | - | • | • | | • | | | (A) | BS | 0 - | - 1) |
| Response | time | | | | | | | | • | | | | • | • | • | | | . 1 | sec |
| Slit widt | th . | | | | | | • | | • | | • | - | • | • | ٠ | • | | . 2 | 2 nm |
| Wavelengt | th sc | ale | exţ | oar | ısi | or | 1 | | | | | | | • | • | • | 20 | nr C | n/cm |
| Scan spec | ed . | | | | | • | • | • | | • | | | • | • | | • | | . 60 | nm (|
| (1) | Set | abso | orp | ti | on | C | e. | 11: | 5 | fi | 11 | ed | ۱ ۱ | wi | th | sc | ılv | ent | on |

18

| | the sample and reference sides. (quartz cells used) |
|----|---|
| | (2) Set up measuring conditions as follows: |
| | Start wave length 900 \rightarrow GO TO λ |
| 5 | Measuring mode ((ABS) → Depress) |
| | Response time 1 → RESPONSE |
| | Slit width $2 \rightarrow \text{(SLIT)}$ |
| | Wavelength sale expansion 20 → CHART FORMAT |
| | Scan speed 60 → (SCAN SPEED) |
| 10 | Recording mode RECORDING → OFF |
| | Set to SEQ for obtaining spectrum |
| | without background correction. |
| | Light source (LAMP SELECT) → AUTO |
| 15 | Photometric scale 0 → SCALE → 100 → SCALE |
| 13 | (0 → SCALE) → 1 → SCALE) |
| | Correcting wave- |
| | length 900 → BACKGROUND → 185 → BACKGROUND |
| | CORRECTION |
| 20 | ON $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)$ nm lamp lights up. |
| | (3) Depress the SCAN key to start background |
| | correction. The UNDER CORR lamp lights up. |
| | Background correction is executed in a |
| | wavelength range from 900 to 185 nm. |
| 25 | From the resulting visible and UV |
| | spectrophotometry diagram as shown in FIG 2, it was |
| | noted that the celery seed extract of the invention had |
| | a maximum absorption at 200 nm when diluted 1 in |
| | 25,000. |
| 30 | EXAMPLE II |
| | High Performance Liquid Chromatography of the Ethanol |
| | Extract (Analytical) |
| | H.P.L.C. was carried out in relation to the |
| •- | ethanol extract using a Waterman single pump and a C |
| 35 | analytical column. The buffer was 48% acetonitrile |
| | 52% water, pH 7.0, flow rate 0.5 ml/min, chart spec 5mm/min, absorption 203 nm and a scale of 0.01 ful |
| | 5mm/min, absorption 203 illi and a scare of 0.01 fur |

deflection.

15

20

25

30

35

FIG. 3 refers to 1µl of the ethanol extract at The essential oil peaks of a 1 in 10 dilution. interest are A, B and C.

We also attach FIGS 4-6 to demonstrate the 5 absorption range of the essential oils A, B and C.

FIG 4 refers to 1 in 200 dilution of the ethanol extract showing a loss of peak A at 252 nm and a reduction in the size of peak B.

FIG 5 refers to a 1 in 50 dilution of the 10 ethanol extract showing a loss of peak A and a reduction in peak B and C at 280 nm.

FIG 6 refers to a 1 in 50 dilution of the ethanol extract showing the loss of peaks A and B and almost the complete loss of peak C at 340 nm.

This demonstrates the peaks of the extract in that the absorption U.V. profile (Example II) is due to the essential oils and not to any contaminants in the ethanol extract. D as shown in FIGS 4-6 corresponds to that part of the absorption trace which does not include peaks A, B and C.

EXAMPLE III

High Performance Liquid Chromatography

(Preparative)

The extract was diluted 1 in 10 with 48% acetonitrile and 52% water pH 7.0. The resultant black precipitate was then extracted 1 in 20 with hexane. The hexane was removed under vacuum in a rotary This gives a green oil. This was then evaporator. applied to a C_{18} preparative HPLC column using 48% acetonitrile 52% water as buffer.

> 5 ml/min Flow rate

0.4 full deflection Scale

5 mm/min Chart speed 203 nm Absorption

The first isolation of the 3 peaks A, B, C FIG 7 demonstrates that peaks B and C would need to be

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

20

FIG shows the rechromatographed. Thus 8 9 and FIG shows С rechomatographed peak Thus the three peaks (ABC) rechomatographed peak B. The solutions of peaks A, B and C have been purified. were then concentrated under reduced pressure in a evaporator dryness and weighed and to rotary redissolved in 80% ethanol for analytical HPLC as previously described in Example II.

EXAMPLE IV

Spectrophotometry of Fatty Acid Peaks

A, B and C

Spectrophotometry in both the visible and U.V. region was carried out as previously described in Example I.

There was no absorption in the visible region of the spectrum. In the U.V. region peak A had maximum absorption at 190 nm peak B 191 nm and peak C 192 nm. This is shown in FIGS 10-12.

EXAMPLE V

Mass Spectrometry, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance and Infrared Spectrometry

relates to further molecular Example V characterization of the peaks A, B and C previously This is shown in FIGS 13-18. More described. particularly, FIGS 13-18 represent the simultaneous characterization of a sample of peak A, peak B and peak C using Mass Spectrophotometry (MS), Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) and Infrared Spectrophotometry (IS). FIG 13 is a MS analysis of peak A. The butylphthalide structure is evident. FIG 14 illustrates NMR of the same peak A, confirming the conclusions drawn from MS. This shows that approximately 70% sedanenolide and 30% n-butylphthalide are present.

FIG 15 is a MS analysis of peak B. Again, the butylphthalide structure is evident. This is confirmed in FIG 16, by the NMR of the same peak B, that shows n-butylphthalide is present. FIG 17 is a MS analysis of

21

peak C. This shows that sedanolide is present. Further structural analysis using Infrared Spectrophotometry as shown in FIG 18 confirms the conclusions derived from the MS.

EXAMPLE VI

5

10

15

20

30

35

Analytical HPLC of the Alcohol Extract diluted with 80% Ethanol to give a solids concentration of 34 mg/ml

Analytical HPLC was performed as previously described in Example II.

FIGS 19-22 demonstrate the linear relationship of the peak heights to volume of sample used. The sample was the ethanol extract diluted to give 34 mg/ml which was diluted 1 in 10 for HPLC analysis.

Thus in FIGS 19-21 peak 1 corresponds to 2 μ l of the sample, peak 2 corresponds to 1 μ l of the sample, peak 3 corresponds to 4 μ l of the sample, peak 4 corresponds to 3 μ l of the sample and peak 5 corresponds to 5 μ l of the sample.

From this data a calibration curve as shown in FIG 22 could be constructed and the concentration of the relevant components determined.

EXAMPLE VII

Blood Plasma levels of Fatty Acids before and after ingestion of the Extract

25 Analytical HPLC was carried out as previously described (Example II).

Blood samples were taken at zero time and at various time intervals thereafter following the ingestion of the extract. Blood samples of 5.0 ml were taken by venipuncture into EDTA-coated tubes and centrifuged to obtain the plasma.

The plasma was then diluted 1 in 2 with 80% ethanol to precipitate proteins and leave fatty acids in solution. A 0.1 ml aliquot of plasma was made up to 0.5 ml with 80% ethanol. Then 0.5 ml of 48% acetonitrile was added, giving a total dilution factor for the plasma of 20. Then 1.0 μ l was taken for

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

analysis.

FIG 23. Subject to zero time showing the presence of the three naturally occurring peaks in the plasma (A,B,C). After taking the ethanol extract (340 mg) the C peak was off scale, hence a 20 fold dilution had to be used.

FIG 24. Subject 1 zero time 20 fold dilution of plasma. Peak C remains but peaks A and B are not detectable at this dilution.

FIG 25. Subject 1 20 min after ingestion of the extract shows an 108% increase in peak C.

FIG 26. Subject 1 70 min after ingestion of the extract shows a 33% reduction in peak C.

FIG 27. Subject 1 3 hours after ingestion of extract shows the absence of peak C.

FIG 28. Subject 2 zero time and 35 min after ingestion of 136 mg of extract. Peak A has increased 50%, Peak B has increased 60% and Peak C has increased 62%.

FIG 29. Subject 3 zero time and 1.0 hours after ingestion of 136 mg of extract. Peak A has increased 50%, Peak B has increased 68% and Peak C has increased 85%.

FIG 30. Subject 4 zero time and 85 min after ingestion of extract. Only Peaks B and C are present which became reduced by 50%.

FIG 31. Subject 5 zero time and 3 hours after ingestion of the extract. Only peaks B and C are present which become reduced by 40%.

These results show that although the zero time concentrations of the peaks A, B and C vary between individuals, they follow the pattern of increase after ingestion of the extract and by 3 hours decrease below that of zero time.

The plasma peaks A, B and C are similar if not identical to those in the extract in terms of retention time on a C_{18} column. Also the pure compounds in the

23

extract and plasma FIG 32, co-chromatographic FIG 33.

EXAMPLE VIII

Pilot Biomedical Study for pain relief in Rheumatic Pain

Statistical Considerations

1.1 Rheumatic Illness

1.

5

10

15

30

35

Rheumatism represents over 100 types rheumatic illnesses and the syndromes can present manifestations of and/or be associated with other therefore makes complexity This diseases. classification of rheumatic illness, its treatment and been Thus diagnosis has difficult. diagnosis variable, which has been considered as а controlled/allowed for by accepting volunteers into the study diagnosed by individual medical specialists and general medical practitioners and self diagnosis where proof was evident.

1.2 Pain

is pain from affected body areas. Therefore the standard McGill Pain Questionnaire was used, which is designed to provide quantitative measures of clinical pain, the pain rating index, that can be assessed statistically and for individual comparisons. In addition, the questionnaire was structured such that the same questions were asked in different ways in order to cross-check responses by statistical analysis.

1.3 Placebo Control

Pain is a variable in that it may be remittent or continual. Therefore assessment of usual pain and usual body areas experiencing pain as well as present pain and present body areas experiencing pain at interview was measured. Therefore, if there is an absence or limited placebo effect, then, usual pain and usual body areas experiencing pain should significantly decrease with time and be correlated. That is, a placebo does not act retrospectively. Similarly, a

24

significant decrease in usual pain with time should be correlated with a significant decrease in present pain. Also, a significant decrease in present pain with time should be correlated with a significant decrease in present body areas experiencing pain. In addition, a significant decrease in usual body areas experiencing pain with time should be correlated with a significant decrease in present body areas experiencing pain.

1.4 Controls

5

10

15

20

30

35

Due to the variables discussed in 1.1 and 1.3, a control group of volunteers would be difficult if not impossible to establish. This may be circumvented by volunteers acting as their own control. This is achieved by expressing pain during the study as a ratio to that experienced at the start of the study.

1.5 Efficacy

Efficacy is in essence a measure of a product's performance claims. Thus products may have a low to high efficacy. This means that for low efficacious products, where few subjects respond to treatment, large numbers of subjects are required for the study to define those who will receive the most benefit. With high efficacious products, few subjects are required to demonstrate efficacy.

25 1.6 Study Design

Consideration was given to cross-over studies (one group) or double cross-over (2 groups) whereby the volunteers at one stage take a placebo and at another stage take the active product. However, since a placebo with the same taste as the active product is not known to exist, and the duration of and mode of action of the active component(s) is not known (washout), cross-over points cannot be established. Thus a longitudinal study was designed in which the first part of the study is repeated when volunteers request to return to the study after cessation of taking the extract. In this way, together with the Statistical

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

25

Considerations (section 3), a placebo effect is controlled and efficacy can be determined.

A total of 15 volunteers were assessed using the McGill pain questionnaire at the start of the study, and a 5ml blood sample was taken for blood biochemistry analysis. The volunteers were then given a 3-week supply of the extract in tincture form ie. in 80% ethanol at 34 mg/ml. One (1.0 ml) 2 x day taken in a small amount of water.

At the end of 3 weeks, the volunteers were assessed as previously described and the procedure was repeated for another 3 weeks (total 6 weeks). The volunteers were then given a 6-week supply of the extract and a follow-up assessment was conducted at the end of this 6 weeks (total 12 weeks). The volunteers then stopped taking the ethanol extract, and if they wished to return to the study, they notified the field officer and the first part of the study (2x3 weeks) was repeated.

2. Results of 12 weeks (0, 3, 6, 12 weeks)

The cohort is composed of 15 volunteers that in the majority of cases (93%) have been medically diagnosed as having arthritic or rheumatic pain, including osteoarthritis, osteoporosis or gout. The pain has been present for approximately 10 years in a remittent or continual form, and the majority (73%) of the volunteers have attempted to use various methods to achieve pain relief. The pain and lack of joint mobility has prevented the carrying out of household duties, hobbies and activities involved in employment.

The general perception of pain by the volunteers is similar to that experienced with rheumatic/arthritic pain. This indicates that these volunteers have had experience of pain over a long duration.

The mean age of the volunteers places them in the higher incidence group for arthritic rheumatic

26

disorders. The current medication, if applicable, for each of the volunteers is shown in Table 1.

Interpretation

The correlation between present pain intensity and the sum total (Σ) of volunteers over 12 weeks as shown in Table 2 and FIG 34 is statistically significant. This would occur by chance in less than 1 in 100 cases. This demonstrates that present pain intensity for the volunteers continues to decrease over 12 weeks using the ethanol extract (time dependent efficacy).

The statistical analysis is biased against the efficacy of the ethanol extract in that volunteers without pain have not been considered.

15 Interpretation

5

10

20

25

30

35

In relation to interpretation of the data shown in FIG 35 and Table 3, there was a significant decrease in the total usual pain index at 3 weeks and 6 weeks relative to each other and week 0, whereas at 12 weeks there was no further decrease.

Present pain also showed a significant decrease at 3 weeks which was similar to that at 6 weeks with a further significant decrease at 12 weeks relative to that at 6 weeks. The probability that this would occur by chance alone is less than 1 in 1000 cases.

There is also a significant correlation between the decrease in usual and present pain index. Thus as usual pain decreases over 12 weeks, so does present pain. This would occur by chance alone in less than 5 in 100 cases.

Interpretation

The Pain Index for each case at 3, 6 and 12 weeks has been transformed by expressing it as a ratio relative to that at the start of the study, 0 week as described in Table 4. Thus each volunteer is acting as their own control: Pain Index at 3, 6 or 12 weeks

27

Pain Index at 0 week

Interpretation

In relation to interpretation of the data shown in Table 5, this will be described hereinafter.

5 Usual Pain

10

15

25

35

The parametric analysis by using the 't' test demonstrates that at 3 weeks there are 4 non-responders and that the mean transformed pain index is not significantly different from that at week 0. At 6 weeks the non-responders had responded and there was now a significant decrease in the transformed mean pain index which is similar to that at 12 weeks. This would occur by chance alone in less than 1 in 1000 cases.

If the non-responders and responders without pain at 3 weeks, and the responders without pain at 12 weeks are not considered, then there is a significant decrease in the mean residual pain index at 3 weeks and 12 weeks. This would occur by chance by less than 1 in 1000 cases.

20 Present Pain

There was a significant decrease in the mean transformed pain index at 3 weeks which is similar to that at 6 weeks and 12 weeks. This would occur by chance in less than 5 in 100 to less than 1 in 1000 cases. If the responders without pain at 3, 6 and 12 weeks are not considered, there is still a significant decrease in the mean residual pain index. This would occur by chance in less than 1 in 100 to less than 1 in 1000 cases.

30 Interpretation

In relation to interpretation of the data shown in FIG 36 and Table 6, there was a significant decrease in the mean number of usual and present body areas experiencing pain at 3 weeks which was similar to that at 6 and 12 weeks. This would occur by chance alone in less than 1 in 1000 cases.

There is also a significant correlation

. 28

between the decrease in the mean number of usual and present body areas experiencing pain over 12 weeks. This would occur by chance in less than 1 in 1000 cases.

5 Interpretation

10

15

20

30

35

In relation to interpretation of the data shown in FIG 37 and Table 7, the correlation between usual body areas experiencing pain and the usual pain index is significant. This would occur by chance in less than 1 in 100 cases. This demonstrates that as the number of usual body areas experiencing pain decreases, so does the usual pain index decrease.

Interpretation

In relation to interpretation of the data shown in FIG 38 and Table 8, the correlation between present body areas experiencing pain and present pain is significant. This would occur by chance in less than 5 in 100 cases. This demonstrates that as the number of present body areas experiencing pain decreases, so does the present pain index.

3. Follow-up of volunteers upon re-entry to the study after cessation of ethanol extract treatment at 12 weeks

A total of seven volunteers have been lost to follow-up.

Three volunteers discontinued without reason. One volunteer had recurrence of a medical problem and discontinued. One volunteer did not comply because of travelling interstate. Two volunteers were still free of pain at 57 days and did not wish to re-enter the study. By 57 days post-cessation of the ethanol extract treatment, eight volunteers had returned to the study.

After cessation of the ethanol extract at 12 weeks, the volunteers (eight) notified the field officer that they wished to start taking the ethanol extract again. The pain questionnaire was completed

29

and this is referred to as 0 week. The present pain at 0 week was expressed as a percentage of the original present pain (original 0 week at the start of the study). The number of days taken for the pain to increase since cessation of the ethanol extract was noted and expressed as a ratio of the number of days before requesting to start taking the ethanol extract once more. This data is presented in FIG 39 and Table 9.

10 Interpretation

5

15

20

25

30

35

If the development of present pain becomes approximately equal to the original pain, the ratio is 0.5. That is, the volunteers tolerate pain for an equal amount of time that it took to develop the pain. The lower the percentage of the present pain, relative to that of the original pain at the start of the study, then the time delay in re-entering the study is greater than the time taken to develop that pain level.

This demonstrates that the request to re-enter the study is not based on a placebo effect.

Mean time for increase in pain 23 \pm 17.4 days, range 9-57 days.

Mean time to re-enter study 34 ± 15.5 days, range 9-57 days.

Ratio = 0.68.

Thus, on average, volunteers will tolerate 70% of the original pain experience before re-entering the study.

The number of volunteers without pain leaves insufficient data for parametric analysis. Therefore, non-parametric analysis has been performed.

Pain upon re-entry into study

Interpretation

In relation to interpretation of the data given in Table 10, the eight volunteers' usual and present paid and usual and present body areas experiencing pain at 12 weeks prior to cessation of the

ethanol extract treatment are significantly decreased relative to re-entry into the study (0 week). Thus there has been significant increase in pain and body areas experiencing pain following cessation of the ethanol extract treatment. This would occur by chance alone in less than 5 in 100 to less than 1 in 1000 cases.

Follow-up after re-entry into study

Interpretation

5

10

15

20

25

35

In relation to interpretation of the data given in Table 11, there is a significant decrease in usual and present pain at 3 weeks and a further significant decrease at 6 weeks. This would occur by chance in less than 1 in 1000 cases.

There is a significant decrease in usual and present body areas experiencing pain at 3 weeks. This would occur by chance in less than 1 in 1000 cases.

At 6 weeks, there is no further decrease in usual body areas experiencing pain, whereas there is an increase in present body areas experiencing pain, but this is still significantly less (p < 0.001) than that at week 0.

The significant decrease in pain and body areas experiencing pain follows the same pattern as that for the first part of the study. The increase at 6 weeks in present body areas experiencing pain, which is still significantly less than that at 0 week, demonstrates the control of breakthrough pain by use of the ethanol extract.

30 Interpretation

In FIG 40 and Table 12, there is shown a graphical representation of usual pain of the eight volunteers from the first part of the study (0, 3, 6 and 12 weeks) and re-entry into the study (0, 3 and 6 weeks). This represents the statistical analysis of the McGill Pain Rating Index for usual pain.

Interpretation

31

In FIG 41 and Table 13, there is shown a graphical representation of present pain of the eight volunteers from the first part of the study (0, 3, 6 and 12 weeks) and re-entry into the study (0, 3 and 6 This represents the statistical analysis of the McGill Pain Rating Index for present pain.

Interpretation ·

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

In FIG 42 and Table 14, there is shown a representation graphical` of usual body experiencing pain of the eight volunteers from the first part of the study (0, 3, 6 and 12 weeks) and reentry into the study (0, 3 and 6 weeks). represents the statistical analysis of the McGill Pain Rating Index for usual body areas experiencing pain.

Interpretation

In FIG 43 and Table 15, there is shown a of present representation body areas graphical experiencing pain of the eight volunteers from the first part of the study (0, 3, 6 and 12 weeks) and reentry into the study (0, 3 and 6 weeks). represents the statistical analysis of the McGill Pain Rating Index for present body areas experiencing pain.

Blood Biochemistry 4.

In regard to the data shown in Table 16 which represents blood plasma biochemistry analysis, blood samples were non-fasting and collected in EDTA scope of limited the the tubes which Nevertheless, initial plasma samples can be compared with those samples taken at the time the volunteers were taking the ethanol extract. It can be concluded that the extract does not affect renal or hepatic functions.

One volunteer on initial assessment elevated levels of glucose, gamma GT, GPT and GOT, which remained elevated at 3, 6, 12 and 18 weeks. Ghloride content was decreased at 3, 6, 12 and 18 The volunteer was consulted and advised to see weeks.

32

her doctor. The diagnosis was diabetes and recurrence of sarcoidosis. This person was lost to further follow-up.

One volunteer with gout on initial assessment had elevated levels of urea, creatinine and urate, indicating renal dysfunction. These had returned to the normal range at 3 weeks and remained within the normal range at 6 weeks and 12 weeks. This person was lost to further follow-up.

10 Interpretation

5

15

20

25

In relation to the data shown in Table 17, fasting (8 hours) blood biochemistry and haematology results of seven volunteers who have been taking the ethanol extract for 3 months after the end of the study.

5. <u>Conclusions</u>

The results satisfy the statistical arguments, demonstrating that the ethanol extract reduces pain and body areas experiencing pain for people with rheumatic/arthritic pain.

Although pain relief may occur for some users of the ethanol extract within the first 3-6 weeks of use, the results indicate that pain relief is dependent on the level of pain and the duration of use of the ethanol extract. Thus 12 weeks use of the ethanol extract gives the most efficacious results. Thus from the parametric analysis, the following may be derived.

| 12 WEEKS USE | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Usual Pain | mean pain level reduced by 52% | | | | | |
| | range of pain reduction 11% - 100% | | | | | |
| Usual Pain | residual pain (absence of pain not considered) | | | | | |
| | mean level reduced by 45% | | | | | |
| | range of residual pain reduction 11% - 90% | | | | | |

30

5

10

15

20

33

| Present Pain | mean level reduced by 68% | | | |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|
| | range of pain reduction 10% - 100% | | | |
| Present Pain | residual pain (absence of pain not considered | | | |
| | mean level reduced by 45% | | | |
| | range of residual pain 10% - 90% | | | |

Thus since there is no significant difference between the significantly reduced mean values of usual pain and present pain at 12 weeks, it can be stated that the ethanol extract reduces pain on average between 45% - 68% within a range of 10% - 100%. The results also demonstrate that the ethanol extract gives sustained pain relief since there was no breakthrough pain while taking the ethanol extract. This is further demonstrable by the return of pain on cessation of use of the extract and reduction of pain on return to use of the extract.

The pattern of response and the correlation analysis demonstrates that further pain relief would be obtained, for those volunteers with residual pain, if they continue to take the ethanol extract after 12 weeks. Extrapolation indicates a total duration of 22-24 weeks would be required.

The ethanol extract does not appear to have any affect on renal and hepatic functions or general blood biochemistry. Similarly there is no effect of the ethanol extract on haematological parameters.

34

REFERENCES

Beeson PBN, McDermott W and Wyngaarden JB. (1975).
 In: Cecil Textbook of Medicine. 5th Edition. Publ.
 W B Saunders Co., Philadelphia, London, Toronto.

5

- Webb J and Nash PT. (1990). Polyarthritis A diagnostic approach. Australian Family Physician 19(10):1533-1542.
- 10 3. Kremer JM. (1990). Severe rheumatoid arthritis:

 Current options in drug therapy. Geriatrics

 45(12):43-48.
- 4. Van der Heijde MFM, Van't Hof MA, Van Riel PLCM,
 Theunisse LAM, Lubberts EW, Van Leeuwen MA, Van
 Rijswijk MH and Van de Patte LBA. (1990). Judging
 disease activity in clinical practice in rheumatoid
 arthritis: first step in the development of a
 disease activity score. Annals. Rheumatic Diseases
 49:916-920.
 - Farge D, Liote F, Guillman R and Carpentier A. (1990). Hyperuricemia and gouty arthritis in heart transplant recipients. Amer J Med 88:553.

25

- 6. Bomaiaske JS, Williamson PK and Zurier RB. (1983). Prostaglandins and the inflammatory response. Clin Lab Med 3:695.
- Robinson DF, McGuire MB and Levine L. (1974).
 Prostaglandins in the rheumatic diseases. Ann N.Y.
 Acad Sci 256:318.
- 8. Samealsson B, Dahlem SE, Lindgren JA, Rouzer CA and
 Serhan CN. (1987). Leukotriene and lipoxins:
 structure and biosynthesis and biological effects.
 Science 237:1171.

- Yoneda T and Mundy GR. Monocytes regulate osteoclast activating factor production by releasing prostaglandins. J Exp Med 150:338.
- 5 10. Hasselbacher P and Schumacker HR. (1978).

 Immunoglobulins in trophi and on the surface of monosodium urate crystals. Arthritis Rheum 21:353.
- 11. Hasselbacher P. (1979). Binding of IgG and complement protein by monosodium urate crystals and other crystals. J Lab Clin Med 94:532.
- 12. Wigley FM, Fine IT and Newcombe DS. (1983). The role of the human synovial fibroblast in monosodium urate crystal induced synovitis. J Rheumatol 10:602.
- 13. Dayer JM, Krane SM, Russell RGG and Robinson DF. (1979). Production of collagenase and prostaglandins by isolated adherent rheumatoid synovial cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 73:94.
- 14. McMillian RM, Vater CA, Hasselbacher P, Hahn J and Harris EH. (1981). Induction of collagenase and protaglandin synthesis in synovial fibroblasts treated with monosodium urate crystals. J Pharm Pharmacol 33:382.
- 15. Rae SE, Davidson EM and Smith JH. (1982).

 30 Leukotriene B4 An inflammatory mediator in gout.

 Lancet 2:1122.
- 16. Bomalaski JS, Baker DG, Brophy LM and Clark MA.
 (1990). Monosodium urate crystals stimulate
 phospholipase A2 enzyme activities and the
 synthesis of a phospholipase A2-activating protein.
 The J Immunol 145:3391-3397.

- 17. Roubenoff R. (1990). Gout and hyperuricemia. Rheumatic Disease Clinics or North America 16(3):539-551.
- 5 18. Leventhal LJ, Levin RW and Bomalaski JS. (1990). Peripheral arthrocentesis in the workup of acute low back pain. Ach Phy Med Rehabil 71:253-254.
- 19. Hess B and Binswanger U. (1990). Acute uric acid nephropathy in two gouty patients with moderate hyperuricemia and high urine acidity. Klin, Wochenschr 68:874-879.
- 20. Kirwan JR, de Saintonge CDM, Joyce CRB and Currey
 15 HLF. (1983). Clinical judgment in rheumatoid
 arthritis I. Rheumatologists opinion and the
 development of paper patients. Ann Rheum Dis
 42:644-647.
- 20 21. Kirwan (1983). Clinical judgment in rheumatoid arthritis II. Judging current disease activity in clinical practice. Ann Rheum Dis 42:648-651.
- 22. Kirwan JR, de Saintonge CDM, Joyce CRB and Currey
 25 HLF. (1986). Inability of rheumatologists to
 describe their true policies for assessing
 rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 45:156-161.
- 23. Sany, Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology 8
 30 (Suppl. 5) 81-88 (1990).
 - 24. Kumar et al., JAP1 (1990) Vol 38 No. 6 400-402.
- 25. Foreman *et al.*, (1990). Child Nephrol Urol 10:115-35 118.
 - 26. Curtin Microbiological Sciences 1 No. 5 (1984).

37

- 27. Webb et al. Australian Family Physician 19 No. 10 October 1990, 1533-1542.
- 28. British Pharmacopoeia (1934).

5

- 29. Kohli *et al.*, Oct 1967. Indian Jour Med Res 55:1099-1102.
- 30. Kulshrestha *et al.*, 1 Jan 1970. Indian Jour Med Res 58:99-102.
 - 31. European Pharmacopoeia Standards.
- 32. McLeod *et al.*, (1988). Phytochemistry <u>27</u> No. 2, 373-375.
 - 33. Salzer CRC Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition Nov 1977.
- 20 34. Lewis et al., (1985). Int J Crude Drug Res <u>3</u> No. 1, 27-32.
 - 35. Al-Hindawi *et al.*, (1989). J Ethnopharmacology 26:163-168.

25

- 36. Price et al., (1987). Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition 26 No. 1.
- 37. In. Laboratory Techniques in biochemistry and molecular biology. Applications of HPLC in Biochemistry by A. Fallon, R F G Booth and L D Bell. Publ. Elsevier Amsterdam: N.Y. Oxford. Vol. 17. 1990.

38

TABLE 1

| 1. | No medication | 9 | |
|----|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| 2. | Vitamins | 1 | |
| 3. | Analgesics | 3 (BP or Panadol) | |
| 4. | Hormones | 1 (Oestrogen) | |
| 5. | Anti-inflammatory | 1 (Inderel) | |

TABLE 2

| TIME IN | WEEKS | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|---|---|----|----|
| Present Pain Intensity | 0 | 3 | 6 | 12 | Σ |
| EX = Excruciating | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HOR = Horrible | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| DIST = Distressing | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| DC = Discomforting | 7 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 16 |
| M = Mild | 4 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 23 |

r = -0.972 p > 0.001 < 0.01

TABLE 3

| Weeks | Σ Usual Pain | X² | Р | Σ Present Pain | X² | Р |
|-------|--------------------|------|---------|----------------------|------|---------|
| 0 | 496.4 | | | 298.7 | | |
| 3 | 354.2 | 40.7 | < 0.001 | 212.8 | 24.7 | < 0.001 |
| 6 | 252.2 | 29.4 | < 0.001 | 189.6 | 2.5 | > 0.1 |
| 12 | 261.5 | 0.34 | > 0.5 | 125 | 33.4 | < 0.001 |

r = 0.917 p > 0.02 < 0.05

TABLE 4

| | McGILL PAIN RATING INDEX | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | in Control 0.33 | Present Pain Control 1 ± 0.36 | | | |
| Case | 3 weeks | 6 weeks | 12 weeks | 3 weeks | 6 weeks | 12 weeks |
| 1 | 0.38 | 0.60 | 0.68 | 0.47 | 0.10 | 0.56 |
| 2 | 2.27 | 0.57 | 0 | 2.27 | 0.57 | 0 |
| 3 | 0.53 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0.26 | 0.46 | 0 | 0.26 | 0.46 |
| 5 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.40 | 0 |
| 7 | 0.87 | 0.36 | 0.62 | 1.3 | 0.57 | - |
| 8 | 1.00 | 0.79 | 0.60 | 0 | 0.15 | 0 |
| 9 | 0.77 | 0.81 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.82 | 0.18 |
| 10 | 0.82 | 0.78 | 0.81 | 0.84 | 0.82 | 0.86 |
| 11 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.20 | 0.62 | 0 | 0.10 |
| 12 | 1.02 | 0.86 | 0.89 | 1.0 | 0.91 | 0.90 |
| 13 | 1.10 | 0.94 | 0.62 | 1.1 | 1.10 | 0.85 |
| 14 | 0.95 | 0.78 | 0.79 | 0.36 | 0.80 | 0.52 |
| 15 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.06 | 0 |
| X * ± sd | 0.76 ± 0.51 | 0.53 ± 0.28 | 0.48 ± 0.30 | 0.59 ± 0.61 | 0.43 ± 0.37 | 0.32 ± 0.34 |
| X ** ± sd | 0.53 ± 0.28 | | 0.55 ± 0.25 | 0.40 ± 0.25 | 0.50 ± 0.30 | 0.55 ± 0.29 |

 ^{*} X ± sd = total observations
 ** X ± sd = total observations minus observations > 1 and zero

TABLE 5

| Usual | 3 Weeks | | 6 \ | Neeks | 12 weeks | |
|--------------------------|---------|---------------|------|---------|----------|---------------|
| Pain Index | `t' | Р | ٠٢ | Р | `t' | Р |
| X ± sd * | 1.53 | >0.05<0.1 | 4.20 | < 0.001 | 4.52 | < 0.001 |
| X ± sd ** | 3.82 | < 0.001 | | | 4.10 | < 0.001 |
| Present Pain Index | | | | | | |
| X ± sd * | 2.24 | >0.02 < 0.05 | 4.28 | < 0.001 | 5.23 | < 0.001 |
| X ± sd ** | 3.46 | >0.001 < 0.01 | 3.85 | < 0.01 | 3.21 | >0.001 < 0.01 |

TABLE 6

| Weeks | Usual Body Areas | 't' | Р | Present Body Areas | `t' | P |
|-------|------------------------|-----|---------|--------------------------|-----|---------|
| 0 | 3 ± 1.91 n = 77 | | | 2.15 ± 1.2 n = 68 | | |
| 3 | 1.9 ± 1.1 n = 53 | 4.2 | < 0.001 | 1.48 ± 0.63 n = 27 | 3.5 | <0.001 |
| . 6 | 1.59 ± 0.81 n = 45 | 5.6 | < 0.001 | 1.26 ± 0.64 n = 27 | 4.7 | < 0.001 |
| 12 | 1.7 ± 0.77 n = 45 | 5.4 | < 0.001 | 1.27 ± 0.56 n = 33 | 5.0 | <0.001 |

TABLE 7

| Weeks | Mean Body Areas | Sum Total Pain Index | |
|-------|-----------------|----------------------|--|
| | Usual | Usual | |
| 0 | 3 | 496.4 | |
| 3 | 1.9 | 354.2 | |
| 6 | 1.59 | 252.2 | |
| 12 | 1.7 | 261.5 | |

r = 0.973 p > 0.001 < 0.01

TABLE 8

| Weeks | Mean Body Areas | Sum Total Pain Index |
|-------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | Present | Present |
| 0 | 2.15 | 298.7 |
| 3 | 1.48 | 212.2 |
| 6 | 1.26 | 189.6 |
| 12 | 1.27 | 125.0 |

r = 0.915 p > 0.002 < 0.05

TABLE 9

| Present Original Present Pain % | Ratio Days Development of Pain/Restarting the Ethanol Extract Ratio |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 60 | 42/49 = 0.86 |
| 100 | 14/28 = 0.50 |
| 51 | 13/15 = 0.87 |
| 95 | 21/36 = 0.58 |
| 39 | 29/36 = 0.80 |
| 100 | 7/46 = 0.15 |

r = 0.827 p > 0.02 < 0.05

TABLE 10

| McGILL PAIN RATING INDEX | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------|--------|--------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| | Time in Weeks | | | | | |
| | Week 12 | Week 0 | Week 0 X ² | Week 0 P | | |
| Usual Pain | 99.3 | 137.5 | 14.7 | < 0.001 | | |
| Present Pain | 36.6 | 116.3 | 186.0 | < 0.001 | | |
| Usual Body Areas | 34 | 47 | 4.97 | >0.02<0.05 | | |
| Present Body Areas | 11 | 40 | 76.4 | < 0.001 | | |

TABLE 11

| | McGILL PAIN RATING INDEX | | | | | | |
|---------|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|---------|------------|
| Pain | Wk 0 | Wk 3 | Wk 6 | Wk 3 | Wk 6 | Wk 3 | Wk 6 |
| Usual | 137.5 | 85.3 | 60.7 | 19.8 | 7.1 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Present | 116.3 | 39.2 | 25.6 | 51.1 | 4.7 | < 0.001 | >0.02<0.05 |
| UBA | 47 | 22 | 19 | 13.3 | 0.4 | < 0.001 | 0.5 |
| PBA | 40 | 5 | 13 | 30.6 | 12.8 | < 0.001 | <0.001 |

UBA = usual body areas total number PBA = present body areas total number

TABLE 12

| Time in Weeks (Wks) | Usual Pain (UP) | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 0 | 262.4 | | |
| 3 | 130.1 | | |
| 6 | 97.2 | | |
| 12 | 99.3 | | |
| 0 | 137.5 | | |
| 3 | 85.3 | | |
| 6 | 52.6 | | |

TABLE 13

| Time in Weeks (Wks) | Present Pain (PP) | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 0 | 144.6 | | |
| 3 | 83.2 | | |
| 6 | 26.5 36.6 | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 0 | 110.0 | | |
| 3 | 29.2 | | |
| 6 | 13.7 | | |

TABLE 14

| Time in Weeks (Wks) | Usual Body Areas (UBA) | | |
|---------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| 0 | 109 | | |
| 3 | 31 | | |
| 6 | 24 | | |
| 12 | 34 | | |
| 0 | 47 | | |
| 3 | 20 | | |
| 6 | 16 | | |

TABLE 15

| Time in Weeks (Wks) | Present Body Areas (PBA) | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| 0 | 48 | | |
| 3 | 24 | | |
| 6 | 7 | | |
| 12 | 11 | | |
| 0 | 40 | | |
| 3 | 6 | | |
| 6 | 13 | | |

TABLE 16

| | BLOOD E | BIOCHEMISTRY | | |
|---------------|---------|----------------------|--------|--|
| Phosphate | Normal | Sodium | Normal | |
| Total protein | Normal | Chloride | Normal | |
| Albumin | Normal | Bicarbonate | Normal | |
| Globulins | Normal | Glucose | Normal | |
| Cholesterol | Normal | Total bilirubin | Normal | |
| Triglycerides | Normal | Conjugated bilirubin | Normal | |
| Urea | Normal | Gamma GT | Normal | |
| Creatinine | Normal | GPT | Normal | |
| Urate | Normal | GOT | Normal | |

TABLE 17

| | BLOOD BIG | CHEMISTRY | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--------|--|
| Sodium | Normal | ALT (SGPT) | Normal | |
| Potassium | Normal | AST (SGOT) | Normal | |
| Chloride | Normal | LDH | Normal | |
| Bicarbonate | Normal | Calcium | Normal | |
| Other anions | Normal | Adjusted for Albumin | Normal | |
| Glucose | Normal | Phosphate · | Normal | |
| Urea | Normal | Total protein | Normal | |
| Creatinine | Normal | Albumin | Normal | |
| Urate | Normal | Globulins | Normal | |
| Total bilirubin | Normal | Iron | Normal | |
| Conjugated bilirubin | njugated bilirubin Normal Cholesterol | | Normal | |
| ALK Phos. | Normal | Triglycerides | Normal | |
| Gamma GT | Normal | | Normal | |

CLAIMS

<u>5</u>

20

<u>35</u>

12

- 1. A method for the treatment of an inflammatory complaint including the step of administration of a compound of general formulae I to XII described herein in FIG 1 or mixtures thereof to a subject suffering from said inflammatory complaint.
- 2. A method as claimed in Claim 1 wherein said compounds comprise butylphthalide, sedanenolide and sedanolide in both cis and trans forms.
- 3. A composition for use in the treatment of an inflammatory complaint comprising one or more compounds of general formulae I to XII described herein in FIG 1 or mixtures thereof also including a pharmaceutically acceptable filler.
- 4. A composition as claimed in Claim 3 wherein the filler is included in said composition on a basis of 5-95% by weight.
 - 5. A composition as claimed in Claim 4 wherein said filler is included in said composition on a basis of 50% or more by weight.
 - 6. A composition as claimed in Claim 5 wherein said filler is included in said composition on a basis of 75% or more by weight.
- 7. A composition as claimed in Claim 1 wherein 25 the filler is dicalcium phosphate.
 - 8. A process of obtaining a plant extract useful in treatment of inflammatory complaints including the steps of:
- (i) contacting plant material with an organic solvent which may extract soluble components of the plant material to produce a solvent extract;
 - (ii) separating the mixture of plant material and solvent so as to remove particulate material therefrom;
 - (iii) concentrating the extract by removing the solvent.

<u>5</u>

20

- 9. A process as claimed in Claim 8 wherein said plant material is crushed plant seed which has an average dimension of 1.5 x 1.55 mm and a thickness of 0.5 mm which may be comminuted to provide finely divided particles of an average diameter of 0.1-0.2
- nm.

 10. A process as claimed in Claim 9 wherein the comminuted seed is suspended in an organic solvent whereby the ratio of seed to solvent is 1 kg of seed in
- 10 5-16 litres of solvent.
 - 11. A process as claimed in Claim 10 wherein the organic solvent is ethanol.
 - 12. A process as claimed in Claim 11 wherein the ethanol has a concentration of 95-98%.
- 13. A process as claimed in Claim 11 wherein the ethanol has a concentration of 99.99%.
 - 14. A process as claimed in Claim 10 wherein the comminuted seed is mixed with the solvent under slow agitation until all extractable components in the seed have been fully extracted with the organic solvent.
 - 15. A process as claimed in Claim 14 wherein the particulate plant material is removed by filtration using filters having a pore size of from 0.2 to 10 microns.
- 25 16. A process as claimed in Claim 15 wherein after removal of particulate plant material the organic solvent extract is subsequently concentrated to remove volatile material leaving a residue of non-volatiles.
- 17. A process as claimed in Claim 16 wherein the residue is further concentrated under vacuum so that there is a 50% weight loss due to the removal of organic solvent and water to provide a viscous liquid or concentrate.
- 18. A viscous liquid or concentrate prepared from the process of Claim 17 when used as a therapeutic agent.
 - 19. A viscous liquid or concentrate prepared from

. <u>5</u>

49

ethanolic extraction of celery seed containing butylphthalide, sedanenolide and sedanolide.

- 20. A therapeutic agent used for alleviation of inflammatory complaints including the viscous liquid or concentrate of Claim 19.
- 21. A method for the treatment of inflammatory complaint including the step of administration of the therapeutic agent of Claim 20.
- 22. An ethanol extract obtained from celery seed

 which upon HPLC analysis has peaks A, B and C as described herein in relation to Examples I VI.

1/39

II
$$S_{1}$$
 S_{1}
 S_{2}
 S_{3}
 S_{4}
 S_{4}
 S_{5}
 S_{4}
 S_{5}
 S_{4}
 S_{5}
 S_{5}
 S_{1}
 S_{1}
 S_{2}
 S_{3}
 S_{4}
 S_{4}
 S_{5}
 S_{5}
 S_{5}
 S_{1}
 S_{1}
 S_{2}
 S_{3}
 S_{4}
 S_{5}
 S_{5}
 S_{5}
 S_{1}
 S_{2}
 S_{3}
 S_{4}
 S_{5}
 S_{5}

FIG-1






FIG. 2




RETENTION TIME (min)

FIG. 3




RETENTION TIME (min)
FIG.4






RETENTION TIME (min)


FIG. 5




RETENTION TIME (min) FIG. 6





RETENTION TIME (min) FIG. 7





RETENTION TIME (min) FIG. 9











FIG-12




SUBSTITUTE SHEET (Rule 26)




SUBSTITUTE SHEET (Rule 26)




SUBSTITUTE SHEET (Rule 26)



SUBSTITUTE SHEET (Rule 26)




SUBSTITUTE SHEET (Rule 26)




| PEAK X 4000.0 threshold 10.0 cm-1 X 2929.9 68.87 1212.3 85.34 694.0 80.04 432.0 114.32 409.6 64.57 | 00%; emis cm-1 1764.1 1061.3 454.6 427.8 | 26.15 | cm-1 1466.1 984.2 444.3 421.7 | 77.32 84.71 90.25 | 436.0 | 72.38 78.68 118.71 47.05 |
|---|---|-------|---|-------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|
|---|---|-------|---|-------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|

FIG. 18




RETENTION TIME (min)

F IG. 19



RETENTION TIME (min) FIG. 20



RETENTION TIME (min) FIG. 21






FIG. 22.

WO 95/00157 PCT/AU94/00342




RETENTION TIME (min) F IG. 23

24/39




RETENTION TIME (min) FIG. 24


PCT/AU94/00342




RETENTION TIME (min) FIG. 25




PCT/AU94/00342









RETENTION TIME (min)

FIG. 29

WO 95/00157 PCT/AU94/00342




FIG. 30






FIG. 31



RETENTION TIME (min)

FIG. 32



RETENTION TIME (min) F IG. 33




FIG 34 .




FIG 35.

PCT/AU94/00342




FIG 36









FIG 37














| A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER Int. Cl. 5 A61K 35/78, 31/365, 31/34, 31/19, 31/12, 31/16, 31/40 | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC | | | | | |
| В. ј | FIELDS SEARCHED | | | | |
| Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC A61K 35/78, 31/365, 31/34, 31/19, 31/12, 31/16, 31/40 | | | | | |
| Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched AU:IPC as above | | | | | |
| Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base, and where practicable, search terms used) ORBIT: antiinflamm or inflamm (1975-92) CASM: butylphthalide or sedanenolide | | | | | |
| C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT | | | | | |
| Category* | Citation of document, with indication, where a | ppropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to Claim No. | | |
| x | EP,A,516588 (CIBA GEIGY AG) 2 December 1992 (02.12.92) the whole document 1,3-7 | | | | |
| х | EP,A,365210 (KYOWA HAKKO KOGYO CO., LTD) 25 April 1990 (25.04.90) the whole document 1,3-7 | | | | |
| х | EP,A,147778 (TROPONWERKE GmBH & CO KG) 10 July 1985 (10.07.85) the whole document 1,3-7 | | | | |
| x | EP,A,488965 (SIGMA-TAU INDUSTRIE FARMACEUTIQUE RIUNITE SPA) | | | | |
| ^ | 3 June 1992 (03.06.92) the whole document 1,3-7 | | 1,3-7 | | |
| х | US, A, 4868153 (ALLISON, A.C. et al) 19 September 1989 (19.09.89) the whole document | | 1,3,7 | | |
| X Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. | | | | | |
| * Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) document of considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined on the international filing date by the principle of theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to inventive an inventive step when the document is combined on invention cannot be considered to inventive an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family | | | erlying the invention reflevance; the claimed isidered novel or cannot be in inventive step when the erlevance; the claimed isidered to involve an edocument is combined such documents, such ious to a person skilled in the same patent family | | |
| l - | ctual completion of the international search 1994 (25.08.94) | Date of mailing of the international search | report . 94) | | |
| | ailing address of the ISA/AU | Authorized officer | · · · · · · | | |
| AUSTRALIAN INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY ORGANISATION PO BOX 200 WODEN ACT 2606 AUSTRALIA John Hanson | | | | | |
| Facsimile No. 06 2853929 | | Telephone No. (06) 2832262 | | | |

| Category Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate of the relevant passages WO.A.91/06863 (E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY) 16 May | n No. |
|--|---|
| 1991 (16.05.91) 1991 (16.05.91) 17he whole document WO, A, 8701698 (THE UPJOHN COMPANY) 26 March 1987 (26.03.87) 1,3-7 1,3 | 19 7,20-22 7,18,20 22 7,18,20 19,22 7,20,2: |

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

| Patent Document Cited in Search Report | Patent Family Member |
|--|----------------------------|
| ED 516500 | AU,A,17053/92 |
| EP 516588 | CA,A,2069857 |
| | CN,A,1067052 |
| | FI,A,922459 |
| | MX,A,9202544 |
| | NO,A,922133 |
| | PL,A,294701 |
| | JP,A,5163240 |
| EP 365210 | JP,A,2196767 |
| EP 147778 | DE,A,3347658 |
| • | JP,A,60158149 |
| • | PH,A,21602 |
| | US,A,4628062 |
| | ZA,A,8410112 |
| EP 488965 | IT,A,1242020 |
| US 4868153 | AU,A,82540/87 |
| | DK,A,6587/87 |
| | EP,A,281713 |
| | FI,A,875502 |
| | HK,A,1258/93 |
| | IL,A,84833 |
| • | JP,A,63188672 |
| | NO,A,875240 NZ,A,222922 |
| | NZ,A,222922 PH,A,23819 |
| | PT,A,86343 |
| | US,A,4753935 |
| | ZA,A,8709414 |
| WO 91/06863 | AU,A,66143/90 |
| WO 91/00003 | EP,A,500586 |
| | NZ,A,235914 |
| | US,A,5102883 |
| | ZA,A,9008745 |
| WO 87/01698 | EP,A,246245 |
| | US,A,4939169 |
| FR 2334368 | IT,A,1091073 |
| | |
| | END OF ANNEX |